The Value Before The Visit

Rethinking the economics of preparing cataract patients.
How pre-education turns cataract consultations into measurable growth.

navigate

navigatepatient.com

Complete Patient Education Is a Revenue Line, Not an Overhead Line

In Navigate's 2025 to 2026 partner field data, pre-educated patients chose an advanced technology IOL (ATIOL) at 18.8% versus 11.1% without Navigate, and scheduled surgery at 78.6% versus 70.5%.[1] For a practice seeing 1,000 cataract consultations a year, that difference is worth about $176,500 in added annual contribution under conservative, first-eye-only assumptions laid out in this report.

The decision in front of practice leaders is usually framed as "what does a patient education vendor cost?" The better question is "what does our cataract program earn with complete pre-evaluation patient education, and what does it earn without it?" Cutting the service removes a cost that is visible on the vendor ledger and creates a larger loss that is invisible on it: fewer ATIOL upgrades, fewer consults converting to surgery, more empty OR slots, and more surgeon minutes spent on basics.

Three facts make that trade-off sharper in 2026:

- **Base reimbursement is shrinking.** The 2026 Medicare payment for CPT 66984 fell 11%, from $521.75 to $462.94. Patient-pay ATIOL and laser revenue is one of the few cataract revenue streams that reimbursement cuts do not touch.[2]
- **The ATIOL opportunity is large and under-captured.** About 38% of US cataract and refractive lens exchange procedures were projected to include at least one advanced component in 2025,[3] yet many practices report adoption well below that.[4]
- **Break-even is low.** Counting nothing but first-eye ATIOL upgrades, Navigate typically needs to deliver only a fraction of its documented lift to pay for itself.

The recommendation
Leverage your patient education to track five significant KPIs (ATIOL conversions, patient tier upgrade, appointment retention, surgical capture, and time saved per evaluation) measured against your practice's own baseline. Understanding those metrics is the first step to maximizing your patient education relationship.

The Economics of the ATIOL Decision

A single upgraded eye can be worth several times the Medicare surgeon fee for the entire case. Under CMS Ruling 05-01,[5] the non-covered refractive portion of an ATIOL procedure is the patient's financial responsibility, so it sits outside the reimbursement pressure squeezing base cataract revenue.

Revenue component	Typical Amount	Who Pays?	CMS Exposure
Surgeon fee, standard cataract (CPT 66984), 2026	$462.94 per eye [2]	Medicare	Yes, down 11% vs 2025
Toric / astigmatism package	$1,000 to $1,500 per eye [6]	Patient	No
Presbyopia-correcting package (multifocal, laser options)	$3,000 to $5,000 per eye [7]	Patient	No
Model assumption	$2,000 net per upgraded eye	Patient	No

The $2,000 blended figure is deliberately conservative. It sits near the low end of presbyopia-correcting pricing and assumes the practice nets less than the gross package charge after lens and technology costs. Substitute your own blended net figure.

Most cataract patients eventually have surgery on both eyes, and the lens philosophy chosen for the first eye usually carries to the second. Every model in this report counts only the first eye, which means the real value of each upgrade decision is likely closer to double what is shown.

Where Revenue Leaks Without Structured Pre-Education

Most of the loss happens before the patient reaches the exam lane, which is why it rarely shows up in a cost review. Patients are typically asked to absorb a cataract diagnosis and a multi-thousand-dollar elective lens decision in the same short visit. The research on how that goes is consistent.

Leak	What drives it	Where it hits the P&L
Lost ATIOL upgrades	Patients forget 40 to 80% of medical information right after a visit; only 3% of adults 65+ have proficient health literacy[8,9]	Patient-pay revenue per case
“Let me think about it”	Pre-surgical anxiety delays decisions; structured pre-op education significantly reduces it[10]	Surgical capture rate
No-shows	Mean clinic no-show rate near 18.8%, about $196 per missed visit[11]	Consult slots and staff time
Late cancellations	Cancellations inside one week refilled only 46% of the time vs 84% when earlier; about $385,000 lost at one practice over two years[12]	Idle OR, anesthesia, staff hours
OR underuse	A structured pre-surgical phone call raised OR utilization from 72.4% to 75.8%[13]	Fixed-cost absorption
Surgeon chair time	Surgeons re-explain basics in the lane	Throughput per surgeon hour

The common thread is that none of these leaks is billed. They show up as a lower ATIOL rate, a softer capture rate, and an OR schedule with gaps, all of which are easy to attribute to “patient mix” rather than to the absence of a process.

What Navigate Results Show

Navigate-supported patients outperformed unsupported patients on both of the metrics that drive cataract revenue, at every participating practice. The controlled comparison below covers 11 surgeons at three practices of different sizes, July 2025 through February 2026, with Navigate-supported and unsupported patients measured side by side.[1]

Metric	Unsupported	With Navigate	Difference
Surgical capture rate (consult to scheduled surgery)	70.5%	78.6%	**+8.1%**
ATIOL adoption rate	11.1%	18.8%	**+7.7%**

Field data from all partner practices across the Navigate network.

Across the broader nationwide network of practices, Navigate sees:

30% greater ATIOL adoption than practice-educated patients alone
15% more evaluations moving to surgery
12% more patients keeping their appointments
7% of patients moving up a surgical tier
7 to 10 minutes saved on every patient evaluation

Patients default to standard lenses mostly because they arrive “confused and unprepared,” not because they cannot afford or do not want better vision.

Education changes patients decision.

Break-Even: How Few Upgrades Pay for the Service

Applying Navigate's documented rates to a practice with 1,000 cataract consultations a year adds about $176,500 in annual contribution, counting only the first eye. The model below uses the case study rates[1] and conservative economic assumptions.

Per 1,000 consults per year	Without Navigate	With Navigate	Added
Surgeries scheduled (70.5% vs 78.6% capture)	705	786	**+81**
ATIOL cases, first eye (11.1% vs 18.8% of surgeries)	78	148	**+70**
ATIOL contribution at $2,000 net per eye	$156,500	$295,500	**+$139,000**
Surgeon fee on added surgeries at $462.94			**+$37,500**
Total added contribution, first eye only			**+$176,500**

If the lens choice carries to the second eye, the ATIOL line roughly doubles and total added contribution approaches $315,000. The model also excludes ASC facility fees, laser add-ons, fewer no-shows, recovered surgeon time, and satisfaction effects.

The Side-by-Side Cost of Navigate Versus In-House Personnel

Pre-visit education is a cost the practice carries either way: as a Navigate line item, or as in-house payroll. Every scheduled cataract patient still needs to be reached, prepared, and documented before the consult. The fair comparison is Navigate against the fully loaded cost of in-house personnel doing the same work, to the same standard, with the same reporting.

Cost line	In-House Personnel	With Navigate
Dedicated staff time to reach every patient	Coordinator FTE(s), fully loaded with benefits	Included
Recruiting and training non-clinical staff to discuss lens options accurately	Ongoing, repeats with every departure	Included, with continuous coaching
Surgeon-approved scripting kept current as the lens menu changes	Internal project, often stalls	Included
Coverage for PTO, sick days, and turnover	Gaps, or no conversation at all	Navigate provides consistent coverage
HIPAA-compliant telephony, documentation, and BAAs	Practice builds and maintains	Included
Reporting on capture, ATIOL, and tier by surgeon	Rarely built	Practice-level dashboard, CSM updates
Repeated contact attempts (patients rarely connect on the first call)	First to be dropped when staff are busy	Queued automatically

Two risks deserve attention. First, coverage risk: a single in-house caller runs out of hours before running out of patients, and the patients hardest to reach are often the ones weighing the decision hardest. Second, turnover risk: coordinator and technician churn resets an internal program unless the knowledge lives in a system rather than a person.

Navigate is also not all-or-nothing. With NavigateOS, a practice can run the conversation with its own team, Navigate's team, or a blend, and switch without changing systems.

Satisfaction, Reputation, and Risk

The upgrades Navigate drives are informed upgrades, and informed patients are the ones who stay happy after surgery. That matters financially because an ATIOL patient who did not understand the trade-offs costs extra chair time, generates complaints, and can turn into a negative online review that suppresses future demand.

- **Lower anxiety, higher satisfaction.** A 2025 study of 733 elderly cataract patients found that confirmatory pre-operative education produced lower anxiety, higher satisfaction, and better informational readiness than standard instruction.[14]
- **Better decisions, fewer regrets.** Cochrane's review of more than 200 studies on decision aids found structured decision support improves knowledge, reduces decisional conflict, and produces choices patients are more likely to stand behind.[15]
- **Consistency across surgeons and sites.** Education quality that depends on whichever staff member is free that afternoon creates uneven patient experience scores. A standardized process removes that variance, which matters most in multi-surgeon and PE-backed groups where boards expect comparable results by location.
- **A process that can survive a "no."** Navigate educates rather than sells. A documented, informed decline is a successful outcome, which protects the practice from the reputation risk of patients feeling pushed.

Measuring Your Investment

The investment in Navigate patient education is dynamic. Navigate is committed to working with your practice to track the KPI that actually move practice revenue over time.

Navigate data capture ensures that metrics are verifiable and represent your true practice revenue picture. Every patient contact is logged and measured against your practice's own baseline, and the practice-level dashboard reports results by surgeon and location.

KPI	Formula	Why It Matters
ATIOL adoption rate	ATIOL cases ÷ total cataract surgeries	Patient-pay revenue per case
Surgical capture rate	Scheduled surgeries ÷ cataract consultations	Volume from patients already acquired
Tier upgrade rate	Higher surgical tier ÷ educated patients	Revenue mix
No-show plus late cancellation rate	Missed/Canceled visits ÷ scheduled visits	OR and staff cost absorption

The most understated, yet dynamic, KPI is the time savings that Navigate provides. The 7 to 10 minutes per patient that Navigate pre-education provides not only provides time back to your surgeon at each evaluation, but alleviates additional time stress from administrative staff. Similarly, outsourcing the time and space for complete patient education provides clarity into all of a patient's cataract surgery options and builds their confidence in the procedure plan well-ahead of their evaluation.

References

1. Navigate Patient Solutions. Case study: convert ATIOLs, decrease no-shows. Navigate Patient Solutions. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://navigatepatient.com/results/case-study-convert-atiols-decrease-no-shows/
2. American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery. 2026 Medicare physician fee schedule final rule released. ASCRS. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://www.ascrs.org/news/ascrs-news/2026-medicare-physician-fee-schedule-final-rule-released
3. Kenniff TJ. The premium IOL market is accelerating. Cataract & Refractive Surgery Today. March 2026. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://crstoday.com/articles/mar-2026/the-premium-iol-market-is-accelerating
4. Navigate Patient Solutions. The opportunities hiding in your patient portfolio. Navigate Patient Solutions. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://navigatepatient.com/results/the-opportunities-hiding-in-your-patient-portfolio/
5. Noncovered services related to premium IOLs. Cataract & Refractive Surgery Today. October 2020. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://crstoday.com/articles/2020-oct/noncovered-services-related-to-premium-iols
6. Pricing and packaging cataract surgery. Ophthalmology Management. February 2023. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://www.ophthalmologymanagement.com/issues/2023/february/pricing-and-packaging-cataract-surgery
7. Bafna S. Presenting and pricing presbyopia-correcting IOLs. Cataract & Refractive Surgery Today. October 2014. Accessed October 1, 2026. https://crstoday.com/topics/general/presenting-and-pricing-presbyopia-correcting-iols/42629/
8. Kessels RPC. Patients' memory for medical information. J R Soc Med. 2003;96(5):219-222. doi:10.1258/jrsm.96.5.219
9. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Adult Literacy: health literacy. US Department of Education. https://nces.ed.gov/naal/health.asp
10. Nabighadim M, Mirghafourvand M, Maghalian M. Non-pharmacological interventions before cataract surgery for preoperative anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurs Open. 2025;12(1):e70122. doi:10.1002/nop2.70122
11. Kheirkhah P, Feng Q, Travis LM, Tavakoli-Tabasi S, Sharafkhaneh A. Prevalence, predictors and economic consequences of no-shows. BMC Health Serv Res. 2016;16:13. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1243-z
12. McMahon S, Steuer F, Lin RT, et al. The cost of last-minute cancellation: analysis of timing, reason, and the block time you won't get back. JBJS Open Access. 2025;10(4):e25.00295. doi:10.2106/JBJS.OA.25.00295
13. Evidence-based approaches toward reducing cancellations on the day of surgery. Saudi J Anaesth. doi:10.4103/1658-354X.143921 [Authors, year, volume, and pages to be confirmed.]
14. Teach-back with traditional preoperative education in elderly patients undergoing day-case cataract surgery: a propensity score-matched analysis. Int Ophthalmol. 2025. doi:10.1007/s10792-025-03884-w [Authors, volume, and article number to be confirmed.]
15. Stacey D, Légaré F, Col NF, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(1):CD001431. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001431.pub4